

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/855,546	05/16/2001	Guy G. Morneault	TE/10310	9721
23971	7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
BENNETT JONES			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
C/O MS ROSEANN CALDWELL 4500 BANKERS HALL EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	STREET, SW		1744	
CALGARY, AB T2P 4K7 CANADA		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Office Action Summer	09/855,546	MORNEAULT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krisanne M. Jastrzab	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>pril 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	oloollon roquiroment.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2001 is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , ,		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/855,546

Art Unit: 1744

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson in view of Hirai U.S. patent No. 5,015,442.

Nelson teaches an apparatus for maintaining the integrity of an isolation room of a hospital wherein a high mass-flow rate air mover is rigidly mounted within a housing cooperatively with a dual air flow zone and a UV emitter (see column 7, lines 15-65).

Hirai '442 teach the provision of a bypass within a high flow capacity air sterilizing/deodorizing apparatus in order to optimize efficient air treatment for large flow volumes. See column 2, lines 39-55, column 3, lines 27-32 and column 4, lines 5-20.

It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a bypass configuration within the apparatus of Nelson, because it would act to optimize effective treatment, as taught in Hirai, while maintaining volume flow through, as required by Nelson.

Further with respect to claims 2-4 and 6-20, Nelson teaches the basic configuration having the blower inlet above the UV emitter, however, with respect to the instant claims it is well held in the art that the re-arrangement of structural elements without a change in their function is a matter of design change, which does not provide patentable distinction over the art.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1744

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton) whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly

Thornton)

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

June 28, 2004